# Results of the Prevention Workforce Development Survey

Missouri Department of Mental Health Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse

Prepared for Mr. Charles Williams Director of Prevention Services Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Missouri Department of Mental Health 1706 East Elm Jefferson City, MO 65102

Prepared by
Louis Veneziano, Ph.D. and Ed Leoni, Re.D.
Southeast Missouri State University
One University Plaza
Cape Girardeau, MO 63701
573-986-7369
Lveneziano@semo.edu

November 18, 2002

Mr. Charles Williams Director of Prevention Services Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Missouri Department of Mental Health 1706 East Elm Jefferson City, MO 65102

Dear Mr. Williams:

Here is the report on the results of the survey of prevention workers that we conducted in response to the directive issued by the *Prevention Workforce Development Task Force* that was convened by the *Prevention Section* of the *Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse* within the *Missouri Department of Mental Health*.

As you will see, the results of the survey point to some specific recommendations concerning the certification of prevention workers. After reviewing the prior work of the *Prevention Workforce Development Task Force*, we describe how the survey was conducted, and then the results obtained. We conclude the report with a series of recommendations on how the certification process for prevention workers could be developed and implemented.

We need to make explicit the role that the *Prevention Workforce Development Task Force* performed in both the development of the survey and the preparation of this report. Both the survey and this report profited immensely from the many insightful comments and suggestions made by the members of the *Prevention Workforce Development Task Force*. Every attempt was made to incorporate the suggestions of the members of the *Prevention Workforce Development Task Force*, but the report itself was actually written by us. Thus, any inaccuracies or shortcomings should be attributed to us, and to us alone.

We greatly appreciate having had the opportunity to prepare this report for you. If you should need any assistance in interpreting this report or in implementing the recommendations, please contact us at Lveneziano@semo.edu or 573.986.7369 or Eleoni@semo.edu or 573.651.2470.

Sincerely,

Louis Veneziano, Ph.D.
Professor of Criminal Justice and Psychology

Ed Leoni, Re.D. Professor of Health and Leisure

# **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary	V
The Problem and the Plan	
Incidentals of Authorization and Submittal	
Goal and Objectives of the Prevention Workforce Development Survey	1
A Preview of the Presentation	1
Introduction	
Current Certification Standards for Prevention Program Staff	3
Workforce Development Concerns	
Characteristics of the Prevention Workforce	3
Job Task Analysis	4
Competencies	4
Recommendations of the Taskforce	5
Methodology	9
Participants	9
Instrument Development	. 10
Procedure	. 11
Data Analysis	. 11
Characteristics of the Total Sample	. 13
Age	. 13
Gender	
Ethnicity	
Educational Level	
Number of Years of Experience Working in the Field of Prevention	. 14
Number of Prevention Service Hours Provided Per Week	
Percentage of Time Spent Performing Major Job Activities	
Characteristics of the Prevention Aide Sub Sample	
Age	. 15
Gender	. 15
Ethnicity	. 15
Educational Level	. 15
Number of Years of Experience Working in the Field of Prevention	. 15
Number of Prevention Service Hours Provided Per Week	. 16
Percentage of Time Spent Performing Major Job Activities	. 16
Characteristics of the Prevention Technician Sub Sample	
Age	. 17
Gender	. 17
Ethnicity	. 17
Educational Level	. 17
Number of Years of Experience Working in the Field of Prevention	. 18
Number of Prevention Service Hours Provided Per Week	
Percentage of Time Spent Performing Major Job Activities	. 18
Characteristics of the Prevention Specialist Sub Sample	
Age	
Gender	
Ethnicity	
Educational Level	
Number of Years of Experience Working in the Field of Prevention	
Number of Prevention Service Hours Provided Per Week	
Percentage of Time Spent Performing Major Job Activities	
Characteristics of the Prevention Professional Sub Sample	
Age	
Gender	
Ethnicity	
Educational Level	

Number of Years of Experience Working in the Field of Prevention	22
Number of Prevention Service Hours Provided Per Week	
Percentage of Time Spent Performing Major Job Activities	22
Importance of Administrative Skills or Activities	23
General Trend	
Ranking of Individual Skills	23
Individual Skill by Work Category	23
Importance of Receiving Training in Administrative Skills or Activities	24
General Trend	
Ranking of Individual Skills	
Individual Skill by Work Category	24
Receipt of Adequate Training in Administrative Skills or Activities	
Importance of Case Management Skills or Activities	26
General Trend	
Ranking of Individual Skills	26
Individual Skill by Work Category	26
Importance of Receiving Training in Case Management Skills or Activities	27
General Trend	27
Ranking of Individual Skills	27
Individual Skill by Work Category	27
Receipt of Adequate Training in Case Management Skills or Activities	
Importance of Direct Service Skills or Activities	
General Trend	29
Ranking of Individual Skills	29
Individual Skill by Work Category	30
Importance of Receiving Training in Direct Service Skills or Activities	31
General Trend	
Ranking of Individual Skills	
Individual Skill by Work Category	
Receipt of Adequate Training in Administrative Skills or Activities	
Importance of Record Keeping Skills or Activities	34
General Trend	
Ranking of Individual Skills	
Individual Skill by Work Category	
Importance of Receiving Training in Record Keeping Skills or Activities	
General Trend	
Ranking of Individual Skills	
Individual Skill by Work Category	
Receipt of Adequate Training in Record Keeping Skills or Activities	
Importance of Supervisory Skills or Activities.	
General Trend	
Ranking of Individual Skills	
Individual Skill by Work Category	
Importance of Receiving Training in Supervisory Skills or Activities	
General Trend	
Ranking of Individual Skills	
Individual Skill by Work Category	
Receipt of Adequate Training in Supervisory Skills or Activities	
Summary and Conclusions	
Recommendations	
Appendix A	
·	14

# **Executive Summary**

The recommendations that result from the *Prevention Workforce Development Survey* are as follows:

- 1. The prevention field in the *State of Missouri* should be professionalized
- 2. The professionalization of the prevention field in the *State of Missouri* would best be accomplished by establishing a multi-tier certification scheme
- 3. Specifically, the multi-tier certification scheme should consist of the following four levels:
  - a. Prevention Aides
  - b. Prevention Technicians
  - c. Prevention Specialists
  - d. Prevention Professionals
- 4. The *Prevention Section* of the *Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse* within the *Missouri Department of Mental Health* should assume primary responsibility for certifying prevention workers in the *State of Missouri*
- 5. Certification should not be based on education and experience alone
- 6. Certification should be based, in part, on the demonstration of mastery of prevention activities and skills on a criterion-referenced, as opposed to a norm-referenced, assessment protocol

Supporting these recommendations are the following findings and conclusions drawn from the *Prevention Workforce Development Survey*. The survey participants were 191 prevention workers employed at 32 sites that received funding from the *Prevention Section* of the *Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse* within the *Missouri Department of Mental Health*. Surveys were mailed to 422 prevention workers. Usable surveys were completed and returned by 191 of the 422 respondents, which represents a 45.3% return rate.

The *Prevention Workforce Development Survey* was developed in a sequence of stages. The final survey questionnaire consists of the following three sections:

- 1. Importance of Specific Job Activities
- 2. Time Spent Performing Major Job Activities
- 3. Background Information

The *Importance of Specific Job Activities* section of the survey questionnaire consisted of thirty-eight skills or activities that a prevention worker might engage in. The thirty-eight skills or activities were arranged into the following five logically derived categories:

- 1. Administrative Skills, eleven skills, for example, Contract management
- 2. Case Management Skills, six skills, for example, Coalition building
- 3. Direct Service Skills, thirteen skills, for example, Conflict resolution
- 4. Record Keeping Skills, two skills, for example, Composing program reports for funders
- 5. Supervisory Skills, six skills, for example, Motivating volunteers

Survey participants were asked to respond to the following three questions for each of the thirty-eight skills or activities:

- 1. How important is this skill or activity in your job? Ratings ranged in value from *Extremely Unimportant* (1) to *Extremely Important* (5).
- 2. Have you received adequate training? Respondents selected Yes or No.
- 3. How important would it be for you to receive training in this skill or activity? Ratings ranged in value from *Extremely Unimportant* (1) to *Extremely Important* (5)

The *Time Spent Performing Major Job Activities* section of the survey questionnaire consisted of asking the respondent to estimate the percentage of time that they spend or expect to spend performing the following five categories of activities:

- 1. Administrative, such as contract management
- 2. Case Management, such as coalition building
- 3. Direct Services, such as conflict resolution
- 4. Record Keeping, such as composing reports for funders
- 5. Supervisory, such as motivating volunteers

The Background Information section of the survey questionnaire consisted of the following eight questions:

- 1. Age
- 2. Gender
- 3. Ethnicity
- 4. Educational Level
- 5. College Major, if applicable
- 6. Work Title
- 7. Number of Hours Spent per Week Providing Prevention Services
- 8. Number of Years of Experience in the Field of Prevention

The survey questionnaires were mailed to a contact person at each site. When the completed survey questionnaires were returned, each participant was assigned to one of the following four prevention worker categories based on his or her response to the *What is Your Work Title* question:

- 1. Prevention Aide
- 2. Prevention Technician
- 3. Prevention Specialist
- 4. Prevention Professional

The qualitative variables were analyzed at the descriptive statistical level using frequency distributions, while the quantitative variables were analyzed by calculating means and standard deviations. Qualitative variables were analyzed at the inferential statistical level by calculating a Chi-Square Test of Independence, while the quantitative variables were analyzed by conducting a One-Way Analysis of Variance. For both types of variables, the four prevention worker categories served as the independent variable. Statistically significant differences between the means were determined by performing a Bonferroni post hoc analysis of all possible pair-wise comparisons.

In general, the results of the *Prevention Workforce Development Survey* indicated that there were reliable and meaningful differences between the four groups of prevention workers. These reliable and meaningful differences were based on the following four types of results:

- 1. The four groups differed in terms of the percentage of time that they actually spend performing certain types of prevention activities and skills
- 2. The four groups differed in terms of how they rated the importance of the prevention activities and skills
- 3. The four groups differed in terms of how they rated the importance of receiving training in the prevention activities and skills
- 4. The four groups differed in terms of the actual amount of training that they have already received in the prevention activities and skills

The results of the *Prevention Workforce Development Survey* provide strong support for the need to professionalize the field of prevention. An important initial step in the professionalization of the field of prevention would be the establishment of a multi-tier certification scheme. Specifically, such a multi-tier scheme should provide certification at the following four levels:

- 1. Prevention Aides
- 2. Prevention Technicians
- 3. Prevention Specialists
- 4. Prevention Professionals

The results of the *Prevention Workforce Development Survey* indicate that certification should not be based on education and experience alone. This is partially the case because there is no standard curriculum for the field of prevention at the present time. In addition, workers in the field strongly suggest that prevention workers be exposed to, allowed to acquire, and develop mastery of specific prevention activities and skills.

Public sentiment and the declining tax revenues that characterize the current fiscal situation set the stage for demands for greater accountability. In order to be accountable, service providers must actually provide the services that they are receiving funding to provide. Prevention workers clearly have great needs to receive training in the specific prevention activities and skills that will enable them to effectively provide prevention services to target populations. The establishment of a multi-tier certification scheme would serve as a basis to demonstrate that prevention workers are actually acquiring and mastering the very skills they need to be effective.

In addition, the results of the *Prevention Workforce Development Survey* indicate what types of training needs exist for workers at various stages in the prevention profession. The lack of a standard prevention curriculum suggests that the assessment portion of the multi-tier certification scheme should be criterion-based, as opposed to norm-based. That is, certification should be based on a prevention worker's mastery of the specific prevention activities and skills associated with the successful provision of services for that job category, as opposed to comparing a person's scores with the scores obtained by some normative group.

# Results of the Prevention Workforce Development Survey

# Missouri Department of Mental Health Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse

# The Problem and the Plan

### **Incidentals of Authorization and Submittal**

This report summarizes the results obtained and recommendations derived from surveying 191 prevention workers employed at thirty-two sites that received funding from the *Prevention Section* of the *Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse* within the *Missouri Department of Mental Health*. This report is being submitted to Mr. Charles Williams by Drs. Louis Veneziano and Ed Leoni of *Southeast Missouri State University* on behalf of the *Prevention Workforce Development Task Force*.

# Goal and Objectives of the Prevention Workforce Development Survey

The overall goal of the *Prevention Workforce Development Survey* was to develop a series of recommendations concerning the creation of a multiple-tier certification program for prevention workers employed in the *State of Missouri*.

The objectives of the Prevention Workforce Development Survey were to:

- 1. Survey a representative sample of prevention workers currently employed in the State of Missouri
- 2. Determine the importance of thirty-eight skills or activities routinely engaged in by prevention workers
- 3. Determine the percentages of prevention workers who felt that they had received adequate training in each of the thirty-eight skills or activities routinely engaged in by prevention workers
- 4. Determine the importance of receiving training in each of the thirty-eight skills or activities routinely engaged in by prevention workers
- 5. Determine the percentage of time that prevention workers actually spend performing five major categories of activities

### A Preview of the Presentation

In the following pages, the findings and analysis of the survey conducted of 191 prevention workers are discussed. First is an introductory section that traces the historical development of the *Prevention Workforce Development Task Force*. Second is a methodology section that describes the procedures used. Third are five sections that describe the characteristics of the total sample, as well as each of the four sub samples. Fourth is a section that describes the percentage of time spent performing major job activities. Fifth are five sections that describe the importance of each of five major job categories, the importance of receiving training in that category, and the percentage of prevention workers who have received adequate training in that category. Conclusions drawn from these results form the basis for the recommendations

made concerning the creation of a multiple-tier certification program for prevention workers employed in he <i>State of Missouri</i> .				

# Introduction

The Prevention Workforce Development Task Force was convened by the Prevention Section of the Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse (ADA). The overarching concern motivating the development of this taskforce involves the unmet needs of Missouri prevention workforces. Therefore, an important charge of this task force is to engage a progressive attempt to gather the necessary information to propose recommendations to the Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse. This taskforce seeks to position prevention work in the State of Missouri as a credible behavioral health profession.

# **Current Certification Standards for Prevention Program Staff**

In order to meet the current certification standards for alcohol and drug abuse programs, provider prevention personnel must:

- 1. be a graduate of "an accredited college or university with a bachelor's degree in community development, education, public administration, public health, psychology, sociology, social work or closely related field;"
- 2. have "one year or more of full-time equivalent professional experience in education, public health, mental health, human services, or a closely related area."
- 3. Additional years of experience may be substituted on a year-for-year basis for the education requirement.

# **Workforce Development Concerns**

It was recognized during the discussion of this certification requirement that the requirement did not address prevention-specific skill sets and did not provide for an "in progress" certification for someone who did not meet all of the above criteria.

Discussion about the certification process yielded the following concerns:

- The present certification process is not seen as necessary by the prevention work force
- The certifications process is not cost effective for the individual/organizations
- Process is intimidating, the prevention workforce is a diverse group, with no single entry level in degree, which is therefore generally unprepared for seeking this certification
- There is a lack of a common language
- The Certification process has no significant value/relevance with career paths and is not seen as practical
- The Certification exam has an extremely high failure rate
- A comprehensive survey of workforce needs has not been conducted
- Training is not readily available or accessible

### **Characteristics of the Prevention Workforce**

The prevention workforce was described as being extremely heterogeneous without a single educational degree preparation. The *Task Force* defined the end client/consumer as individuals, families, organizations, and or communities.

# Job Task Analysis

Direct Services	Indirect Services
Intervention	Advocacy
Curriculum delivery	Technical Assistance
Alternative Activities:	Program Planning
Recreation	Program Assessment
• Arts	Resource acquisition
• Sports	Program Evaluation
Community service	
Program facilitation	
Outreach to specific populations	
Mentoring	
Training/technical assistance	
Advocacy	
Education	
Information dissemination	
Public awareness	
Problem identification & referral	
• EAP	
• SAP	
• SATOP	
Community organization-team building	
Case management	
Resource acquisition-connecting people with	
resources	
Community empowerment	
Marketing	
Program/curriculum development	

# Competencies

The work group identified relevant competencies of the prevention professionals in the Missouri system. In an effort to provide organization to this list, the competencies were categorized as they related to prevention workers; knowledge, an understanding of factors or principles related to a particular subject; skills, capability to perform tasks as a result of training and experience; and abilities, the capacity to perform a set of tasks.

Knowledge	Skills	Abilities
<ul> <li>Knowledge of prevention principles and practices</li> </ul>	Referral skills	Staff recruitment and retention
Knowledge of addiction, recovery,	Curriculum delivery	Resource identification
<ul><li>abuse/misuse</li><li>Knowledge of</li></ul>	Program Planning	Identification of use/misuse/abuse
evaluation	Event Planning	Cultural and linguistic sensitivity
Knowledge of local and state resources	Facilitation Skills	Curriculum development and delivery

<ul> <li>Knowledge of youth and lifespan developmental stages</li> <li>Knowledge of coping skills</li> <li>Knowledge of learning theories</li> <li>Knowledge of theory of change</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Leadership Skills</li> <li>Coalition building/</li> <li>Motivational skills</li> <li>Volunteer management and recruitment</li> <li>Computer literacy</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Evaluate programs</li> <li>Grant writing</li> <li>Access state and local resources</li> <li>Communicate clearly</li> <li>Facilitate group interaction</li> </ul>
Knowledge of Risk and Protective Factors	Issue advocacy	<ul> <li>Assess, identify, and apply appropriate interventions</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Intra-personal knowledge and awareness</li> </ul>	Social Marketing	Conduct Community     Needs Assessment
	Social Norming	Provide Program Supervision and
	<ul><li>Ethics</li><li>Data collection</li></ul>	Oversight
	Reporting	

### **Recommendations of the Taskforce:**

- The *Taskforce* unanimously agreed that the prevention field must be professionalized. One set of training or testing will be sufficient for the current prevention needs. Recognizing this, the taskforce recommended that a survey of the prevention workforce be developed to gather salient information pertaining to work experience, education level, and job duties to aide this taskforce in formalizing recommendations. Define the common, or core, characteristics of prevention "jobs", additionally the task force will define levels of experience, education and training for each prevention worker/"job", identify accessible and alternative training methods to support the aforementioned competencies.
- The *Taskforce* also recommended that the *Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse* assume primary responsibility for certifying individuals in the *State of Missouri*. The basis of this recommendation was threefold: (1) this would support the establishment of a consistent and common language within the field; (2) assist in positioning the field of prevention as a credible career path in the mental health industry and (3) aid the prevention workforce in meeting and passing the certification exam. Another step in professionalizing may include the formation of a *Prevention Provider Association/Network*.
- The certification process proposed for adoption identified four distinct levels of the prevention workforce. For each level of classification, progressive minimum qualifications and core training requirements were identified. The different classification levels enable progressive development of knowledge, skills, and abilities as well as the recognition of an individuals' mastery of core competencies required for credentialing. The recommended tiers for the prevention workforce are:

Level of Entry	Title	Credentialing
Level 1	Prevention Aide	Certificate of completed training
Level 2	Prevention Technician	Recognized Prevention Technician by DADA
Level 3	Prevention Specialist	Certified Prevention Specialist by DADA
Level 4	Prevention Professional	Certified Prevention Professional by DADA

The prevention workforce ranges from entry-level staff to prevention professionals. In an effort to identify the salient features or characteristics defining each level the taskforce composed some tentative definitions. It was recommended that the following descriptions serve as the absolute minimum criteria for entry into each level.

		Educational	Experience
Level and Title	Description	Requirements	Requirement
Level 1:			None
Prevention Aide			
Level 2	An individual whose primary	High School Diploma or	Linkage to the
Prevention	responsibility is to provide	GED	community to be served
Technician	direct services to the		
	identified consumer. This		
	person has no supervisory		
	responsibilities & receives		
	direct supervision		
Level 3:	Viewed as a Junior	Bachelor's Degree	One year of experience
Prevention	Professional. This could be		Or
Specialist	their 1 <sup>st</sup> full time employment		Five (5) years experience
	opportunity. Direct services		without a degree
	are provided by this		
T 1.4	individual.	D 1 1 2 1 .	TEL (2)
Level 4:	Senior professional. Primary	Bachelor's degree in	Three (3) years
Prevention	responsibilities focus on the	relevant field	supervisory experience
Professional	day-to-day program		in prevention/youth
	operations. This person is		development
	responsible for supervising		
	all proceeding levels. Some		
	direct services may be		
	provided by this person		

It was recommended that training requirements be established at all levels. Consistency in training would assist in developing prevention into a recognized professional field. Possibilities for trainers include *ATTC*, *ACT Missouri*, and *Southwest CAPT*, with the caveat that training opportunities should be accessible to prevention providers. The taskforce identified some core requirements that would necessitate training for each of the aforementioned levels. The following chart delineates the minimum core requirements for each level. Each level presupposes the accomplishments or mastery of the core requirements denoted for the proceeding level.

Level and Title	Basic Competencies
Level 1	
Prevention Aide	

Level and Title	Basic Competencies
Level 2	Active Listening Skills
Prevention Technician	Social/interactive skills
	Fundamental basic knowledge of prevention
	Good working knowledge of program delivery
	How what you're doing fits into the big picture of prevention
	Crisis Plan execution
	Problem solving
	Conflict resolution
	Group dynamics
	Time management
	Planning & organizing
	• Ethics
Level 3	All proceeding competencies plus:
Prevention Specialist	Leadership skills
	Entry level management
	Knowledge of youth development
	Organizing or event planning
	Communication skills
	Problem solving skills
	Decision making
	Working understanding of applicable local & state childcare regulations
	Relationship building
	Knowledge of public policy development
Level 4	All proceeding competencies plus:
Prevention Professional	Budget management
	Program design
	Program implementation
	Curriculum development
	Evaluation development
	Supervisory skills
	Data analysis

Level and Title	Basic Competencies
	Reporting and record keeping
	Resource acquisition/grant writing
	Marketing/Public Speaking/Public Relations
	Risk management
	Hiring, selecting, recruitment & retention
	Staff development
	Research and program assessment
	Public policy and advocacy
	Mid-level management training

# Methodology

# **Participants**

The survey participants were 191 prevention workers employed at thirty-two sites that received funding from the *Prevention Section* of the *Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse* within the *Missouri Department of Mental Health*. Surveys were mailed to 422 prevention workers. The following table contains the number of surveys mailed to each of the thirty-sites:

Agency	Number of Surveys Mailed Out
Burrell Center	3
Community Partnership of the Ozarks	30
Lafayette House	3
Ozark Center	2
Pathways	4
Sigma House	2
Family Counseling Center of Missouri	4
LEAD Institute	4
Preferred Family	4
Wellness Resource Center	11
Better Family Life	8
CORR	3
Friends with a Better Plan	18
IAM CARES	2
NCADA	14
33 <sup>rd</sup> Judicial Circuit Court	3
Family Counseling Center	2
Prevention Consultants of Missouri	3
Lincoln University	8
SEMO Community Treatment Center	1
SEMO State University	4
Alliance	130
Big Brothers & Sisters	8
Boys & Girls Clubs of Greater Kansas City	120
Guadalupe Center	4
Heart of America Family Services	3
Move Up	2
Preferred Family Healthcare	4
NCADD	8
Swope Parkway	3
Synergy Services	3
Tri-County Mental Health	4
Total Number of Prevention Workers:	422

Usable surveys were completed and returned by 191 of the 422 respondents. This represents a 45.3% return rate. The following table contains the number and percentage of respondents by work category:

Work Category	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Total Sample
Prevention Aide	29	15.2%
Prevention Technician	24	12.6%
Prevention Specialist	74	38.7%
Prevention Professional	64	33.5%
Total	191	100%

# **Instrument Development**

The Prevention Workforce Development Survey was developed in the following six stages:

- 1. Members of the Prevention Workforce Development Task Force generated items
- 2. The items were arranged in a logically derived order
- 3. The formatted items were reviewed by the members of the *Prevention Workforce Development Task Force* who made suggestions concerning the addition, deletion, and/or modification of items
- 4. The revised items were reformatted
- 5. The reformatted items were reviewed again by the members of the *Prevention Workforce Development Task Force*, who made additional suggestions concerning the addition, deletion, and/or modification of items
- 6. The resulting questionnaire was pilot tested on a small group of actual prevention workers

Steps 3 through 6 were repeated twice, so the entire development process went through three iterations. Appendix A contains a copy of the final survey questionnaire. The final survey questionnaire consists of the following three sections:

- 1. Importance of Specific Job Activities
- 2. Time Spent Performing Major Job Activities
- 3. Background Information

The *Importance of Specific Job Activities* section of the survey questionnaire consisted of thirty-eight skills or activities that a prevention worker might engage in. The thirty-eight skills or activities were arranged into the following five logically derived categories:

- 1. Administrative Skills, eleven skills, for example, Contract management
- 2. Case Management Skills, six skills, for example, Coalition building
- 3. Direct Service Skills, thirteen skills, for example, Conflict resolution
- 4. Record Keeping Skills, two skills, for example, Composing program reports for funders
- 5. Supervisory Skills, six skills, for example, Motivating volunteers

Survey participants were asked to respond to the following three questions for each of the thirty-eight skills or activities:

- 1. How important is this skill or activity in your job? Ratings ranged in value from *Extremely Unimportant* (1) to *Extremely Important* (5).
- 2. Have you received adequate training? Respondents selected Yes or No.
- 3. How important would it be for you to receive training in this skill or activity? Ratings ranged in value from *Extremely Unimportant* (1) to *Extremely Important* (5)

The *Time Spent Performing Major Job Activities* section of the survey questionnaire consisted of asking the respondent to estimate the percentage of time that they spend or expect to spend performing the following five categories of activities:

- 1. Administrative, such as contract management
- 2. Case Management, such as coalition building
- 3. Direct Services, such as conflict resolution
- 4. Record Keeping, such as composing reports for funders
- 5. Supervisory, such as motivating volunteers

The Background Information section of the survey questionnaire consisted of the following eight questions:

- 1. Age
- 2. Gender
- 3. Ethnicity
- 4. Educational Level
- 5. College Major, if applicable
- 6. Work Title
- 7. Number of Hours Spent per Week Providing Prevention Services
- 8. Number of Years of Experience in the Field of Prevention

### Procedure

The survey questionnaires were mailed to a contact person at each site who agreed to complete the following tasks:

- 1. Distribute the survey questionnaires to the prospective participants
- 2. Direct any questions to the survey researchers
- 3. Collect the completed survey questionnaires
- 4. Return the completed survey questionnaires to the survey researchers

# **Data Analysis**

Each participant was assigned to one of the following four prevention worker categories based on his or her response to the *What is Your Work Title* question:

- 1. Prevention Aide
- 2. Prevention Technician
- 3. Prevention Specialist
- 4. Prevention Professional

The following five *qualitative variables* were analyzed at the *descriptive statistical level* using *frequency distributions*:

- 1. Receipt of Adequate Training
- 2. Gender
- 3. Ethnicity
- 4. Educational Level
- 5. Hours Spent per Week Providing Prevention Services

The following five *quantitative variables* were analyzed at the *descriptive statistical level* by calculating *means* and *standard deviations*:

- 1. Rated Importance of Skill or Activity
- 2. Rated Importance of Receiving Training
- 3. Percentage of Time Spent Performing Major Job Activities
- 4. Age
- 5. Number of Years of Experience in Field of Prevention

The Receipt of Adequate Training qualitative variable was analyzed at the inferential statistical level by calculating a Chi-Square Test of Independence with the four prevention worker categories as the independent variable.

The following three *quantitative variables* were analyzed at the *inferential statistical level* by conducting a *One-Way Analysis of Variance* with the four prevention worker categories as the independent variable. Statistically significant differences between the means were determined by performing a *Bonferroni post hoc* analysis of all possible pair-wise comparisons:

- 1. Rated Importance of Skill or Activity
- 2. Rated Importance of Receiving Training
- 3. Percentage of Time Spent Performing Major Job Activities

# **Characteristics of the Total Sample**

# Age

The average (mean) age of the 191 prevention workers surveyed was 33.03 (SD = 10.88). The prevention workers ranged in age from 19 to 58.

# Gender

The following table contains the number and percentage of prevention workers by gender:

Gender	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sample
Female	135	71.1%
Male	55	28.9%
Total	191	100%

# **Ethnicity**

The following table contains the number and percentage of prevention workers by ethnicity:

Ethnic Group	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sample
African-American	62	33.3%
Hispanic	7	3.8%
White	111	59.7%
Other	6	3.2%
Total	191	100%

# **Educational Level**

The following table contains the number and percentage of prevention workers by educational level:

<b>Educational Level</b>	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sample
Less Than High School	2	1.1%
Some High School	1	0.5%
<b>High School Graduate</b>	15	7.9%
GED	1	0.5%
Some College	45	23.7%
College Graduate	90	47.4%
Master's Degree	34	17.9%
<b>Doctoral Degree</b>	2	1.1%
Total	191	100%

# Number of Years of Experience Working in the Field of Prevention

The average (mean) number of years of experience working in the field of prevention of the 191 prevention workers surveyed was 5.18 (SD = 5.68). The number of years of experience working in the field of prevention ranged from 1 to 26.

# **Number of Prevention Service Hours Provided Per Week**

Hours Per Week	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sample
Less Than 5	34	18.2%
5 to 10 Hours	15	8.0%
11 to 20 Hours	30	16.0%
21 to 30 hours	21	11.2%
31 to 40 Hours	49	26.2%
More Than 40 Hours	38	20.3%
Total	191	100%

# Percentage of Time Spent Performing Major Job Activities

The following table contains the percentage of time the prevention workers reported spent performing the following five major job activities: (1) Administrative; (2) Case Management; (3) Direct Services; (4) Record Keeping; and (5) Supervisory.

Major Job Activity	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Administrative	22.49%	22.228	0%	94%
Case Management	14.55%	15.22	0%	65%
Direct Services	33.61%	27.58	0%	100%
Record Keeping	11.94%	14.08	0%	100%
Supervisory	16.93%	17.61	0%	84%

# **Characteristics of the Prevention Aide Sub Sample**

# Age

The average (mean) age of the 29 Prevention Aides surveyed was 27.2 (SD = 9.8). The Prevention Aides ranged in age from 19 to 52.

### Gender

The following table contains the number and percentage of Prevention Aide respondents by gender:

Gender	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sub Sample
Female	21	72.4%
Male	8	27.6%
Total	29	100%

# **Ethnicity**

The following table contains the number and percentage of Prevention Aide respondents by ethnicity:

Ethnic Group	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sub Sample
African-American	11	37.9%
Hispanic	2	6.9%
White	16	55.2%
Total	29	100%

# **Educational Level**

The following table contains the number and percentage of Prevention Aide respondents by educational level:

<b>Educational Level</b>	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sub Sample	
Some High School	1	3.4%	
High School Graduate	7	24.1%	
Some College	17	58.6%	
College Graduate	4	13.8%	
Total	29	100%	

# Number of Years of Experience Working in the Field of Prevention

The average (mean) number of years of experience working in the field of prevention of the 29 Prevention Aides surveyed was 1.46 (SD = 0.88). The number of years of experience working in the field of prevention ranged from 1 to 4.

# **Number of Prevention Service Hours Provided Per Week**

Hours Per Week	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sub Sample
Less Than 5	11	39.3%
5 to 10 Hours	3	10.7%
11 to 20 Hours	5	17.9%
21 to 30 hours	3	10.7%
31 to 40 Hours	5	17.9%
More Than 40 Hours	1	3.6%
Total	29	100%

# Percentage of Time Spent Performing Major Job Activities

The following table contains the percentage of time the Prevention Aides reported spent performing the following five major job activities: (1) Administrative; (2) Case Management; (3) Direct Services; (4) Record Keeping; and (5) Supervisory.

Major Job Activity	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Administrative	10.63%	15.07	0%	50%
Case Management	5.96%	8.98	0%	30%
Direct Services	48.27%	37.1	0%	100%
Record Keeping	17.37%	28.48	0%	100%
Supervisory	14.89%	22.06	0%	75%

# **Characteristics of the Prevention Technician Sub Sample**

# Age

The average (mean) age of the 24 Prevention Technicians surveyed was 28.5 (SD = 9.3). The Prevention Technicians ranged in age from 20 to 54.

### Gender

The following table contains the number and percentage of Prevention Technician respondents by gender:

Gender	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sub Sample
Female	15	65.2%
Male	8	34.8%
Total	23	100%

# **Ethnicity**

The following table contains the number and percentage of Prevention Technician respondents by ethnicity:

Ethnic Group	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sub Sample
African-American	8	38.1%
Hispanic	2	9.5%
White	10	47.6%
Other	1	4.8%
Total	21	100%

# **Educational Level**

The following table contains the number and percentage of Prevention Technician respondents by educational level:

<b>Educational Level</b>	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sub Sample
Some High School	1	4.3%
High School Graduate	5	21.7%
GED	1	4.3%
Some College	14	60.9%
College Graduate	2	8.7%
Total	23	100%

# Number of Years of Experience Working in the Field of Prevention

The average (mean) number of years of experience working in the field of prevention of the 24 Prevention Technicians surveyed was 3.95 (SD = 4.18). The number of years of experience working in the field of prevention ranged from 1 to 20.

# **Number of Prevention Service Hours Provided Per Week**

Hours Per Week	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sub Sample
Less Than 5	6	26.1%
5 to 10 Hours	2	8.7%
11 to 20 Hours	2	8.7%
21 to 30 hours	3	13.0%
31 to 40 Hours	8	34.8%
More Than 40 Hours	2	8.7%
Total	23	100%

# Percentage of Time Spent Performing Major Job Activities

The following table contains the percentage of time the Prevention Technicians reported spent performing the following five major job activities: (1) Administrative; (2) Case Management; (3) Direct Services; (4) Record Keeping; and (5) Supervisory.

Major Job Activity	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Administrative	17.45%	17.38	0%	60%
Case Management	10.55%	10.58	0%	30%
<b>Direct Services</b>	35.0%	26.9	10%	90%
Record Keeping	9.55%	10.31	0%	40%
Supervisory	26.95%	20.4	5%	84%

# **Characteristics of the Prevention Specialist Sub Sample**

# Age

The average (mean) age of the 74 Prevention Specialists surveyed was 32.5 (SD = 10.2). The Prevention Specialists ranged in age from 21 to 58.

### Gender

The following table contains the number and percentage of Prevention Specialist respondents by gender:

Gender	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sub Sample
Female	59	79.7%
Male	15	20.3%
Total	74	100%

# **Ethnicity**

The following table contains the number and percentage of Prevention Specialist respondents by ethnicity:

Ethnic Group	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sub Sample
African-American	16	21.9%
Hispanic	1	1.4%
White	51	69.9%
Other	5	6.8%
Total	73	100%

# **Educational Level**

The following table contains the number and percentage of Prevention Specialist respondents by educational level:

<b>Educational Level</b>	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sub Sample
High School Graduate	2	2.7%
Some College	10	13.5%
College Graduate	53	71.6%
Master's Degree	9	12.2%
Total	74	100%

# Number of Years of Experience Working in the Field of Prevention

The average (mean) number of years of experience working in the field of prevention of the 74 Prevention Specialists surveyed was 4.45 (SD = 4.31). The number of years of experience working in the field of prevention ranged from 1 to 20.

# **Number of Prevention Service Hours Provided Per Week**

Hours Per Week	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sub Sample
Less Than 5	10	13.7%
5 to 10 Hours	7	9.6%
11 to 20 Hours	10	13.7%
21 to 30 hours	8	11.0%
31 to 40 Hours	23	31.5%
More Than 40 Hours	15	20.5%
Total	73	100%

# Percentage of Time Spent Performing Major Job Activities

The following table contains the percentage of time the Prevention Specialists reported spent performing the following five major job activities: (1) Administrative; (2) Case Management; (3) Direct Services; (4) Record Keeping; and (5) Supervisory.

Major Job Activity	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Administrative	17.92%	19.98	0%	94%
Case Management	20.88%	18.2	0%	65%
<b>Direct Services</b>	35.42%	23.88	0%	85%
Record Keeping	12.36%	9.07	0%	50%
Supervisory	13 59%	14 61	0%	75%

# **Characteristics of the Prevention Professional Sub Sample**

# Age

The average (mean) age of the 64 Prevention Professionals surveyed was 37.7 (SD = 10.9). The Prevention Professionals ranged in age from 23 to 58.

### Gender

The following table contains the number and percentage of Prevention Professional respondents by gender:

Gender	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sub Sample
Female	40	62.5%
Male	24	37.5%
Total	64	100%

# **Ethnicity**

The following table contains the number and percentage of Prevention Professional respondents by ethnicity:

Ethnic Group	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sub Sample
African-American	27	42.9%
Hispanic	2	3.2%
White	34	54.0%
Total	63	100%

# **Educational Level**

The following table contains the number and percentage of Prevention Professional respondents by educational level:

<b>Educational Level</b>	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sub Sample
Less Than High School	1	1.6%
<b>High School Graduate</b>	1	1.6%
Some College	4	6.3%
College Graduate	31	48.4%
Master's Degree	25	39.1%
Doctoral Degree	2	3.1%
Total	64	100%

# Number of Years of Experience Working in the Field of Prevention

The average (mean) number of years of experience working in the field of prevention of the 64 Prevention Professionals surveyed was 8.25 (SD = 7.28). The number of years of experience working in the field of prevention ranged from 1 to 26.

# **Number of Prevention Service Hours Provided Per Week**

Hours Per Week	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Sub Sample
Less Than 5	7	11.1%
5 to 10 Hours	3	4.8%
11 to 20 Hours	13	20.6%
21 to 30 hours	7	11.1%
31 to 40 Hours	13	20.6%
More Than 40 Hours	20	31.7%
Total	63	100%

# Percentage of Time Spent Performing Major Job Activities

The following table contains the percentage of time the Prevention Professionals reported spent performing the following five major job activities: (1) Administrative; (2) Case Management; (3) Direct Services; (4) Record Keeping; and (5) Supervisory.

Major Job Activity	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Administrative	34.89%	23.59	0%	90%
Case Management	12.29%	11.64	0%	60%
<b>Direct Services</b>	23.94%	23.17	0%	75%
Record Keeping	10.08%	9.81	0%	65%
Supervisory	18 81	16 79	0%	80%

# Importance of Administrative Skills or Activities

### **General Trend**

On average, the four work categories rated the importance of administrative skills or activities in their job in the following order:

Prevention Professionals > Prevention Specialists > Prevention Technicians > Prevention Aides

# **Ranking of Individual Skills**

The following table rank orders the eleven administrative skills or activities in terms of their importance in descending (highest to lowest) order. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

Skill or Activity	Mean	Standard Deviation
Planning and Organizing	4.46	1.03
Program Implementation	4.17	1.11
Evaluation Development	3.83	1.31
Making Programmatic Decisions	3.81	1.26
Designing Programs	3.74	1.40
Curriculum Development	3.63	1.35
Managing Budgets	3.63	1.44
Public Policy Development and Advocacy	3.59	1.35
Grant Writing	3.49	1.50
Data Analysis	3.44	1.31
Contract Management	3.28	1.38

# **Individual Skill by Work Category**

The following table contains the mean rating for each administrative skill or activity for each of the four work categories. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

	Prevention	Prevention	Prevention	Prevention	
Skill or Activity	Professional	Specialist	Technician	Aide	Total
Contract Management	3.72	3.15	3.04	2.79	3.28
Curriculum Development	3.67	3.53	3.92	3.55	3.63
Data Analysis	3.86	3.40	3.00	2.96	3.44
Designing Programs	4.17	3.56	3.79	3.18	3.74
<b>Evaluation Development</b>	4.16	3.88	3.74	3.04	3.83
Grant Writing	3.81	3.47	3.75	2.64	3.49
Making Programmatic					
Decisions	4.37	3.53	3.83	3.29	3.81
Managing Budgets	4.19	3.24	3.88	3.17	3.63
Planning and Organizing	4.57	4.45	4.50	4.24	4.46
Program Implementation	4.27	4.15	4.26	3.93	4.17
<b>Public Policy Development</b>					
and Advocacy	3.79	3.56	3.62	3.19	3.59

# Importance of Receiving Training in Administrative Skills or Activities

### **General Trend**

On average, the four work categories rated the importance of receiving training in administrative skills or activities in the following order:

Prevention Professional > Prevention Specialists > Prevention Technicians > Prevention Aides

# **Ranking of Individual Skills**

The following table rank orders the eleven administrative skills or activities in terms of the importance of receiving training in descending (highest to lowest) order. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

Skill or Activity	Mean	Standard Deviation
Planning and Organizing	3.75	1.35
Program Implementation	3.72	1.37
<b>Evaluation Development</b>	3.54	1.38
Designing Programs	3.48	1.38
Making Programmatic Decisions	3.46	1.31
Public Policy Development and Advocacy	3.42	1.38
Curriculum Development	3.34	1.39
Managing Budgets	3.31	1.41
Grant Writing	3.31	1.43
Data Analysis	3.23	1.32
Contract Management	2.98	1.37

# **Individual Skill by Work Category**

The following table contains the mean rating for the importance of receiving training in each administrative skill or activity for each of the four work categories. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

	Prevention	Prevention	Prevention	Prevention	
Skill or Activity	Professional	Specialist	Technician	Aide	Total
Contract Management	3.19	3.03	2.78	2.56	2.98
Curriculum Development	3.41	3.37	3.42	3.04	3.34
Data Analysis	3.53	3.18	3.00	2.98	3.23
<b>Designing Programs</b>	3.70	3.50	3.61	2.81	3.48
<b>Evaluation Development</b>	3.82	3.68	3.41	2.60	3.54
Grant Writing	3.38	3.50	3.43	2.61	3.31
Making Programmatic					
Decisions	3.67	3.39	3.76	2.96	3.46
Managing Budgets	3.50	3.04	4.00	3.04	3.31
Planning and Organizing	3.58	3.83	4.00	3.76	3.75
Program Implementation	3.65	3.78	4.00	3.46	3.72
<b>Public Policy Development</b>					
and Advocacy	3.45	3.49	3.57	3.07	3.42

24

# Receipt of Adequate Training in Administrative Skills or Activities

The following table contains the percentage of each work category reporting whether they have already received adequate training in each of the eleven administrative skills or activities:

	Preve	ention	Preve	ention	Preve	ention	Preve	ention
	Profes	ssional	Spec	ialist	Techi	nician	Ai	de
Administrative Skill or Activity	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Contract Management	38.1%	61.9%	23.9%	76.1%	12.5%	87.5%	13.8%	86.2%
Curriculum Development	46.0%	54.0%	37.5%	62.5%	25.0%	75.0%	17.2%	82.8%
Data Analysis	45.2%	54.8%	41.4%	58.6%	25.0%	75.0%	14.3%	85.7%
Designing Programs	50.8%	49.2%	46.5%	53.5%	41.7%	58.3%	17.9%	82.1%
<b>Evaluation Development</b>	38.3%	61.7%	54.3%	45.7%	21.7%	78.3%	17.9%	82.1%
Grant Writing	45.2%	54.8%	40.8%	59.2%	29.2%	70.8%	6.9%	93.1%
Making Programmatic								
Decisions	65.1%	34.9%	45.8%	54.2%	37.5%	62.5%	27.6%	72.4%
Managing Budgets	45.2%	54.8%	30.4%	69.6%	36.4%	63.6%	27.6%	72.4%
Planning and Organizing	77.8%	22.2%	72.6%	27.4%	60.9%	39.1%	75.9%	24.1%
Program Implementation	68.3%	31.7%	70.4%	29.6%	52.2%	47.8%	51.7%	48.3%
<b>Public Policy Development and</b>								
Advocacy	41.0%	59.0%	45.7%	54.3%	17.4%	82.6%	20.7%	79.3%

# Importance of Case Management Skills or Activities

### **General Trend**

On average, the four work categories rated the importance of case management skills or activities in their job in the following order:

Prevention Specialists > Prevention Professionals > Prevention Technicians > Prevention Aides

# **Ranking of Individual Skills**

The following table rank orders the six case management skills or activities in terms of their importance in descending (highest to lowest) order. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

Skill or Activity	Mean	Standard Deviation
Networking	4.16	1.14
<b>Locating Community Resources</b>	4.12	1.10
Partnership Development	3.94	1.30
Risk Management	3.81	1.24
Community Mobilization	3.74	1.19
Coalition Building	3.70	1.31

# **Individual Skill by Work Category**

The following table contains the mean rating for each case management skill or activity for each of the four work categories. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

Skill or Activity	Prevention Professional	Prevention Specialist	Prevention Technician	Prevention Aide	Total
Coalition Building	3.92	4.06	3.13	2.74	3.70
Community Mobilization	3.90	4.08	3.48	2.76	3.74
<b>Locating Community</b>	4.23	4.35	4.08	3.31	4.12
Resources					
Networking	4.43	4.40	3.83	3.18	4.16
Partnership Development	4.22	4.11	3.71	3.04	3.94
Risk Management	4.02	3.86	3.67	3.32	3.81

# **Importance of Receiving Training in Case Management Skills or Activities**

### **General Trend**

On average, the four work categories rated the importance of receiving training in case management skills or activities in the following order:

Prevention Specialists > Prevention Professionals > Prevention Technicians > Prevention Aides

# **Ranking of Individual Skills**

The following table rank orders the six case management skills or activities in terms of the importance of receiving training in descending (highest to lowest) order. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

Skill or Activity	Mean	Standard Deviation
<b>Locating Community Resources</b>	3.76	1.27
Networking	3.62	1.34
Partnership Development	3.58	1.39
Risk Management	3.56	1.32
Community Mobilization	3.47	1.29
Coalition Building	3.45	1.35

# **Individual Skill by Work Category**

The following table contains the mean rating for the importance of receiving training in each case management skill or activity for each of the four work categories. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

Skill or Activity	Prevention Professional	Prevention Specialist	Prevention Technician	Prevention Aide	Total
Coalition Building	3.53	3.75	3.36	2.52	3.45
Community Mobilization	3.54	3.69	3.55	2.58	3.47
<b>Locating Community</b>	3.75	3.99	3.95	2.96	3.76
Resources					
Networking	3.51	3.93	3.86	2.88	3.62
Partnership Development	3.63	3.74	3.77	2.88	3.58
Risk Management	3.68	3.64	3.64	2.96	3.56

# Receipt of Adequate Training in Case Management Skills or Activities

The following table contains the percentage of each work category reporting whether they have already received adequate training in each of the six case management skills or activities:

	Prevention Professional		Prevention Specialist		Prevention Technician		Prevention Aide	
Administrative Skill or Activity	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Coalition Building	42.6%	57.4%	58.0%	42.0%	13.0%	87.0%	7.1%	92.9%
Community Mobilization	45.2%	54.8%	55.7%	44.3%	23.8%	76.2%	21.4%	78.6%
<b>Locating Community Resources</b>	54.1%	45.9%	69.0%	31.0%	34.8%	65.2%	34.5%	65.5%
Networking	65.6%	34.4%	66.2%	33.8%	43.5%	56.5%	24.1%	75.9%
Partnership Development	47.5%	52.5%	55.1%	44.9%	34.8%	65.2%	24.1%	75.9%
Risk Management	41.0%	59.0%	34.3%	65.7%	39.1%	60.9%	24.1%	75.9%

# Importance of Direct Service Skills or Activities

### **General Trend**

On average, the four work categories rated the importance of direct service skills or activities in their job in the following ways: (1) Prevention Aides and Prevention Technicians tended to place more of an emphasis on "hands-on" skills and activities, and they tended to de-emphasize educational and presentation skills and activities; and (2) Prevention Specialists and Prevention Professionals tended to place more of an emphasis on educational and presentation skills and activities, and they tended to de-emphasize "hands-on" skills and activities.

# **Ranking of Individual Skills**

The following table rank orders the thirteen direct service skills or activities in terms of their importance in descending (highest to lowest) order. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

Skill or Activity	Mean	Standard Deviation
Interacting With Youth	4.45	1.06
Practicing Active Listening Skills	4.44	0.98
Motivating Youth	4.37	1.10
<b>Utilizing Youth Development Principles</b>	4.26	1.14
Work With Groups or Community Teams	4.20	1.16
Interacting With Adults	4.16	1.20
<b>Providing Presentations</b>	4.15	1.17
Conflict Resolution	4.08	1.16
Utilizing Knowledge of Prevention	4.08	1.22
Principles		
<b>Educating Audiences on the Drugs of</b>	3.71	1.43
Abuse		
Educating Audiences on the Family and	3.68	1.44
Individual Dynamics of Addiction		
Crisis Plan Execution	3.63	1.32
<b>Educating Audiences on the Cycle of</b>	3.51	1.41
Addiction		

## **Individual Skill by Work Category**

The following table contains the mean rating for each direct service skill or activity for each of the four work categories. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

	Prevention	Prevention	Prevention	Prevention	
Skill or Activity	Professional	Specialist	Technician	Aide	Total
<b>Conflict Resolution</b>	3.87	4.08	4.29	4.36	4.08
Crisis Plan Execution	3.52	3.63	4.09	3.54	3.63
<b>Educating Audiences on the</b>	3.50	3.72	3.21	3.22	3.51
Cycle of Addiction					
<b>Educating Audiences on the</b>	3.70	3.89	3.50	3.44	3.71
drugs of Abuse					
Educating Audiences on the	3.62	3.86	3.58	3.39	3.68
Family and Individual					
Dynamics of Addiction					
Interacting With Adults	4.13	4.55	3.92	3.39	4.16
Interacting With Youth	4.18	4.62	4.50	4.54	4.45
<b>Motivating Youth</b>	4.21	4.46	4.52	4.36	4.37
Practicing Active Listening	4.50	4.54	4.30	4.14	4.44
Skills					
<b>Providing Presentations</b>	4.18	4.45	4.13	3.32	4.15
Utilizing Knowledge of	4.13	4.21	3.91	3.79	4.08
<b>Prevention Principles</b>					
Utilizing Youth	4.26	4.34	4.25	4.07	4.26
<b>Development Principles</b>					
Working With Groups or	4.21	4.37	4.25	3.68	4.20
<b>Community Teams</b>					

## Importance of Receiving Training in Direct Service Skills or Activities

#### **General Trend**

On average, the four work categories rated the importance of receiving training in direct service skills or activities in the following order:

Prevention Technicians > Prevention Specialists > Prevention Aides > Prevention Professionals

#### **Ranking of Individual Skills**

The following table rank orders the thirteen direct service skills or activities in terms of the importance of receiving training in descending (highest to lowest) order. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

Skill or Activity	Mean	Standard Deviation
Interacting With Youth	4.11	2.65
Motivating Youth	3.92	1.37
Practicing Active Listening Skills	3.87	1.34
<b>Utilizing Youth Development Principles</b>	3.86	1.38
Working With Groups or Community	3.77	1.26
Teams		
Conflict Resolution	3.74	1.36
Providing Presentations	3.73	1.29
Utilizing Knowledge of Prevention	3.66	1.40
Principles		
Interacting With Adults	3.65	1.32
<b>Educating Audiences on the Drugs of</b>	3.56	1.37
Abuse		
Crisis Plan Execution	3.54	1.35
Educating Audiences on the Family and	3.53	1.38
Individual Dynamics of Addiction		
<b>Educating Audiences on the Cycle of</b>	3.45	1.40
Addiction		

## **Individual Skill by Work Category**

The following table contains the mean rating for the importance of receiving training in each direct service skill or activity for each of the four work categories. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

	Prevention	Prevention	Prevention	Prevention	
Skill or Activity	Professional	Specialist	Technician	Aide	Total
<b>Conflict Resolution</b>	3.58	3.72	4.20	3.80	3.74
Crisis Plan Execution	3.45	3.50	3.84	3.63	3.54
<b>Educating Audiences on</b>	3.29	3.54	3.75	3.31	3.45
the Cycle of Addiction					
<b>Educating Audiences on</b>	3.45	3.54	4.00	3.52	3.56
the Drugs of Abuse					
<b>Educating Audiences on</b>	3.33	3.64	3.80	3.48	3.53
the Family and Individual					
<b>Dynamics of Addiction</b>					
Interacting With Adults	3.40	3.91	3.77	3.40	3.65
Interacting With Youth	4.30	3.91	4.19	4.17	4.11
Motivating Youth	3.83	3.87	4.00	4.26	3.92
Practicing Active	3.81	3.90	4.23	3.60	3.87
Listening Skills					
<b>Providing Presentations</b>	3.53	3.91	4.27	3.20	3.73
Utilizing Knowledge of	3.63	3.67	3.79	3.58	3.66
<b>Prevention Principles</b>					
Utilizing Youth	3.83	3.87	4.04	3.71	3.86
<b>Development Principles</b>					
Working With Groups or	3.63	3.85	4.00	3.68	3.77
Community Teams					

# Receipt of Adequate Training in Administrative Skills or Activities

The following table contains the percentage of each work category reporting whether they have already received adequate training in each of the thirteen direct service skills or activities:

	Preve	ention	Preve	ntion	Preve	ention	Prevention	
	Professional Specialist		Techi	nician	Aide			
Administrative Skill or Activity	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Conflict Resolution	64.4%	35.6%	62.5%	37.5%	73.9%	26.1%	60.7%	39.3%
Crisis Plan Execution	41.4%	58.6%	48.6%	51.4%	45.5%	54.5%	35.7%	64.3%
Educating Audiences on the	50.9%	49.1%	54.9%	45.1%	34.8%	65.2%	11.1%	88.9%
Cycle of Addiction								
<b>Educating Audiences on the</b>	60.0%	40.0%	64.8%	35.2%	43.5%	56.5%	35.7%	64.3%
Drugs of Abuse								
<b>Educating Audiences on the</b>	50.0%	50.0%	58.0%	42.0%	34.8%	65.2%	32.1%	67.9%
Family and Individual								
Dynamics of Addiction								
Interacting With Adults	63.2%	36.8%	74.0%	26.0%	50.0%	50.0%	67.9%	32.1%
Interacting With Youth	66.7%	33.3%	75.0%	25.0%	66.7%	33.3%	75.0%	25.0%
Motivating Youth	73.2%	26.8%	70.8%	29.2%	65.2%	34.8%	71.4%	28.6%
Practicing Active Listening	75.4%	24.6%	76.7%	23.3%	52.2%	47.8%	63.0%	37.0%
Skills								
<b>Providing Presentations</b>	64.9%	35.1%	74.0%	26.0%	50.0%	50.0%	35.7%	64.3%
Utilizing Knowledge of	59.6%	40.4%	62.5%	37.5%	56.5%	43.5%	37.0%	63.0%
Prevention Principles								
<b>Utilizing Youth Development</b>	52.6%	47.4%	59.7%	40.3%	69.6%	30.4%	53.6%	46.4%
Principles								
Working With Groups or	58.6%	41.4%	68.1%	31.9%	47.8%	52.2%	63.0%	37.0%
<b>Community Teams</b>								

## Importance of Record Keeping Skills or Activities

#### **General Trend**

On average, the four work categories rated the importance of record keeping skills or activities in their job in the following order:

Prevention Professionals > Prevention Specialists > Prevention Technicians > Prevention Aides

#### **Ranking of Individual Skills**

The following table rank orders the two record keeping skills or activities in terms of their importance in descending (highest to lowest) order. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

Skill or Activity	Mean	Standard Deviation
Record Keeping	4.13	1.26
Composing Program Reports for Funders	3.79	1.35

#### **Individual Skill by Work Category**

The following table contains the mean rating for each record keeping skill or activity for each of the four work categories. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

Skill or Activity	Prevention Professional	Prevention Specialist	Prevention Technician	Prevention Aide	Total
Composing Program Reports for Funders	4.14	3.68	3.87	3.19	3.79
Record Keeping	4.33	4.22	4.09	3.48	4.13

## Importance of Receiving Training in Record Keeping Skills or Activities

#### **General Trend**

On average, the four work categories rated the importance of receiving training in record keeping skills or activities in the following order:

Prevention Technicians > Prevention Specialists > Prevention Professionals > Prevention Aides

#### **Ranking of Individual Skills**

The following table rank orders the two record keeping skills or activities in terms of the importance of receiving training in descending (highest to lowest) order. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

Skill or Activity	Mean	Standard Deviation
Record Keeping	3.49	1.44
Composing Program Reports for Funders	3.47	1.45

#### **Individual Skill by Work Category**

The following table contains the mean rating for the importance of receiving training in each record keeping skill or activity for each of the four work categories. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

Skill or Activity	Prevention Professional	Prevention Specialist	Prevention Technician	Prevention Aide	Total
Composing Program Reports for Funders	3.40	3.57	3.70	3.18	3.47
Record Keeping	3.29	3.70	4.00	3.00	3.49

# Receipt of Adequate Training in Record Keeping Skills or Activities

The following table contains the percentage of each work category reporting whether they have already received adequate training in each of the two record keeping skills or activities:

		ention ssional		ention ialist	Preve Techi	ention nician	Preve Ai	ention de
Administrative Skill or Activity	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Composing Program Reports	41.7%	58.3%	33.3%	66.7%	36.4%	63.6%	12.0%	88.0%
for Funders								
Record Keeping	55.2%	44.8%	55.6%	44.4%	52.2%	47.8%	46.2%	53.8%

## Importance of Supervisory Skills or Activities

#### **General Trend**

On average, the four work categories rated the importance of supervisory skills or activities in their job in the following order:

Prevention Professionals > Prevention Technicians > Prevention Specialists > Prevention Aides

#### **Ranking of Individual Skills**

The following table rank orders the six supervisory skills or activities in terms of their importance in descending (highest to lowest) order. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

Skill or Activity	Mean	Standard Deviation
Motivating Volunteers	3.94	1.32
Supervising Youth	3.89	1.40
Staff Development	3.73	1.46
Recruitment and Retention of Volunteers	3.61	1.45
Supervising Staff	3.58	1.54
Overseeing Adult Volunteer Programs	3.50	1.41

#### **Individual Skill by Work Category**

The following table contains the mean rating for each supervisory skill or activity for each of the four work categories. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

Skill or Activity	Prevention Professional	Prevention Specialist	Prevention Technician	Prevention Aide	Total
Motivating Volunteers	3.92	4.23	3.83	3.35	3.94
Overseeing Adult Volunteer	3.65	3.68	3.35	2.86	3.50
Programs					
Recruitment and Retention	3.90	3.71	3.65	2.68	3.61
of Volunteers					
Staff Development	4.37	3.34	3.61	3.43	3.73
Supervising Staff	4.40	3.00	3.74	3.11	3.58
Supervising Youth	4.08	3.75	4.24	3.50	3.89
Programs					

## Importance of Receiving Training in Supervisory Skills or Activities

#### **General Trend**

On average, the four work categories rated the importance of receiving training in supervisory skills or activities in the following order:

Prevention Professionals > Prevention Specialists > Prevention Technicians > Prevention Aides

#### **Ranking of Individual Skills**

The following table rank orders the six supervisory skills or activities in terms of the importance of receiving training in descending (highest to lowest) order. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

Skill or Activity	Mean	Standard Deviation
Supervising Youth Programs	3.75	1.48
Motivating Volunteers	3.63	1.41
Recruitment and Retention of Volunteers	3.58	1.44
Staff Development	3.56	1.52
Supervising Staff	3.41	1.57
Overseeing Adult Volunteer Programs	3.38	1.44

#### **Individual Skill by Work Category**

The following table contains the mean rating for the importance of receiving training in each supervisory skill or activity for each of the four work categories. Each skill or activity was rated on a five-point scale, with one representing Extremely Unimportant and five representing Extremely Important:

Skill or Activity	Prevention Professional	Prevention Specialist	Prevention Technician	Prevention Aide	Total
Motivating Volunteers	3.47	3.87	3.80	3.30	3.63
Overseeing Adult	3.51	3.35	3.48	3.07	3.38
Volunteer Programs					
Recruitment and	3.71	3.62	3.76	3.04	3.58
Retention of Volunteers					
Staff Development	4.03	3.12	3.75	3.42	3.56
Supervising Staff	3.90	2.84	3.85	3.31	3.41
Supervising Youth	3.83	3.57	4.11	3.74	3.75
Programs					

# **Receipt of Adequate Training in Supervisory Skills or Activities**

The following table contains the percentage of each work category reporting whether they have already received adequate training in each of the six supervisory skills or activities:

		ention ssional		ention ialist	Preve Techi	ention nician	Preve Ai	ention de
Administrative Skill or Activity	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Motivating Volunteers	47.5%	52.5%	50.7%	49.3%	52.2%	47.8%	25.9%	74.1%
Overseeing Adult Volunteer	34.4%	65.6%	50.0%	50.0%	45.5%	54.5%	7.7%	92.3%
Programs								
Recruitment and Retention of	47.5%	52.5%	46.4%	53.6%	42.9%	57.1%	11.1%	87.9%
Volunteers								
Staff Development	53.3%	46.7%	34.3%	65.7%	33.3%	66.7%	40.7%	59.3%
Supervising Staff	54.1%	45.9%	28.8%	71.2%	45.5%	54.5%	30.8%	69.2%
<b>Supervising Youth Programs</b>	54.2%	45.8%	51.6%	48.4%	66.7%	33.3%	37.5%	62.5%

### **Summary and Conclusions**

In general, the results of the *Prevention Workforce Development Survey* indicated that there were reliable and meaningful differences between the following four groups of prevention workers:

- 1. Prevention Aides
- 2. Prevention Technicians
- 3. Prevention Specialists
- 4. Prevention Professionals

These reliable and meaningful differences were based on the following four types of results:

- 1. The four groups differed in terms of the percentage of time that they actually spend performing certain types of prevention activities and skills
- 2. The four groups differed in terms of how they rated the importance of the prevention activities and skills
- 3. The four groups differed in terms of how they rated the importance of receiving training in the prevention activities and skills
- 4. The four groups differed in terms of the actual amount of training that they have already received in the prevention activities and skills

The results of the *Prevention Workforce Development Survey* provide strong support for the need to professionalize the field of prevention. An important initial step in the professionalization of the field of prevention would be the establishment of a multi-tier certification scheme. Specifically, such a multi-tier scheme should provide certification at the following four levels:

- 1. Prevention Aides
- 2. Prevention Technicians
- 3. Prevention Specialists
- 4. Prevention Professionals

The results of the *Prevention Workforce Development Survey* indicate that certification should not be based on education and experience alone. This is partially the case because there is no standard curriculum for the field of prevention at the present time. In addition, workers in the field strongly suggest that prevention workers be exposed to, allowed to acquire, and develop mastery of specific prevention activities and skills.

Public sentiment and the declining tax revenues that characterize the current fiscal situation set the stage for demands for greater accountability. In order to be accountable, service providers must actually provide the services that they are receiving funding to provide. Prevention workers clearly have great needs to receive training in the specific prevention activities and skills that will enable them to effectively provide prevention services to target populations. The establishment of a multi-tier certification scheme would serve as a basis to demonstrate that prevention workers are actually acquiring and mastering the very skills they need to be effective.

In addition, the results of the *Prevention Workforce Development Survey* indicate what types of training needs exist for workers at various stages in the prevention profession. The lack of a standard prevention curriculum suggests that the assessment portion of the multi-tier certification scheme should be criterion-based, as opposed to norm-based. That is, certification should be based on a prevention worker's mastery of the specific prevention activities and skills associated with the successful provision of services for that job category, as opposed to comparing a person's scores with the scores obtained by some normative group.

#### Recommendations

The conclusions based on the results obtained from the *Prevention Workforce Development Survey* suggest that the following actions be taken:

- 1. The prevention field in the *State of Missouri* should be professionalized
- 2. The professionalization of the prevention field in the *State of Missouri* would best be accomplished by establishing a multi-tier certification scheme
- 3. Specifically, the multi-tier certification scheme should consist of the following four levels:
  - a. Prevention Aides
  - b. Prevention Technicians
  - c. Prevention Specialists
  - d. Prevention Professionals
- 4. The *Prevention Section* of the *Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse* within the *Missouri Department of Mental Health* should assume primary responsibility for certifying prevention workers in the *State of Missouri*
- 5. Certification should not be based on education and experience alone
- 6. Certification should be based, in part, on the demonstration of mastery of prevention activities and skills on a criterion-referenced, as opposed to a norm-referenced, assessment protocol

# Appendix A

**Prevention Workforce Development Survey** 

## Missouri Department of Mental Health Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention Workforce Development Survey

## Importance of Specific Job Activities

Instructions:	For each of the following skills or activities, circle the number to the left that best indicates how
	important you believe that skill or activity is in your job; then, circle "Y" for Yes if you have or "N"
	for No if you have not received formal training in that skill or activity; finally, circle the number to the
	right that best indicates how important it would be for you to receive training in this skill or activity

	w important is this ll or activity in your o?				Skill or activity			Have you received adequate training?			How important would it be for you to receive training in this skill or activity?					
Extremely Unimportant				Extremely Important			Yes	No		Extremely Unimportant				Extremely Important		
					Administrative Skills											
1	2	3	4	5	Contract management		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Curriculum development		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Data analysis		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Designing programs		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Evaluation development		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Grant writing		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Making programmatic decisions		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Managing budgets		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Planning and organizing		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Program implementation		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Public policy development and		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
	_				advocacy	-	_			_				-		
					Case Management Skills											
1	2	3	4	5	Coalition building		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Community mobilization		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Locating community resources		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Networking		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Partnership development		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Risk management		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
					Direct Service Skills											
1	2	3	4	5	Conflict resolution		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Crisis plan execution		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Educating audiences on the cycle of addiction		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Educating audiences on the drugs of abuse		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Educating audiences on the family and individual dynamics of addiction		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Interacting with adults		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Interacting with youth		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Motivating youth		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Practicing active listening skills		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Providing presentations		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		
1	2	3	4	5	Utilizing knowledge of prevention principles		Y	N		1	2	3	4	5		

How important is this skill or activity in your job?				Skill or activity	rece adec	e you ived juate iing?	How it be f traini	or yong in	ou to	rec	eive	
Extremely Unimportant				Extremely Important		Yes	No	Extremely Unimportant				Extremely Important
1	2	3	4	5	Utilizing youth development principles	Y	N	1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5	Working with groups or community teams	Y	N	1	2	3	4	5
					Record Keeping Skills							
1	2	3	4	5	Composing program reports for funders	Y	N	1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5	Record keeping	Y	N	1	2	3	4	5
					Supervisory Skills							
1	2	3	4	5	Motivating volunteers	Y	N	1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5	Overseeing adult volunteer programs	Y	N	1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5	Recruitment and retention of volunteers	Y	N	1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5	Staff development	Y	N	1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5	Supervising staff	Y	N	1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5	Supervising youth programs	Y	N	1	2	3	4	5
					Other Skills (please specify)							
1	2	3	4	5		Y	N	1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5		Y	N	1	2	3	4	5

#### **Time Spent Performing Major Job Activities**

Instructions:	For each of the following major activities of your job, estimate the percen or expect to spend performing that activity within a year; please make sur						
	the activities sums to 100; if you don't perform that activity, write down "0" or leave it blank:						
	Activity	Percentage of Time Spent Performing That Activity					
Administrativ	: :						
development, C	gement, Curriculum development, Data analysis, Designing programs, Eva Grant writing, Making programmatic decisions, Managing budgets, Planning gram implementation, Public policy development and advocacy)						
Case Manager	nent:						
(Coalition building, Community mobilization, Locating community resources, Networking, Partnership development, Risk management)							
Direct Service	ş:						
audiences on the addiction, Inter- listening skills,	tion, Crisis plan execution, Educating audiences on the cycle of addiction, I educate of abuse, Educating audiences on the family and individual dynamicacting with adults, Interacting with youth, Motivating youth, Practicing action Providing presentations, Utilizing knowledge of prevention principles, Utilizent principles, Working with groups or community teams)	ics of ive					
Record Keepii	ng:						
(Composing program reports for funders, Report keeping)							
Supervisory:							
(Motivating volunteers, Overseeing adult volunteer programs, Recruitment and retention of volunteers, Staff development, Supervising staff, Supervising youth programs)							
Other (please							
Total Number	of Hours:	100					

#### **Background Information**

Instructions:	Please answer the following questions by either placing an "X" in the appropriate box or writing in your response:								
Age:									
Gender:									
Female	П								
Male									
_									
Ethnicity:									
	-American □								
Hispani									
White									
Other (p	please specify):								
Educational Le	vel:								
	an high school								
	igh school □								
	hool graduate								
GED									
Some co	ollege $\square$								
College	graduate $\square$								
Master's	s degree $\Box$								
Doctora	al degree								
	cessfully completed college or post								
graduate studie	es, please indicate your major:								
What is Your V	Vork Title								
vilat is 1 out v	VOIR TIUC.								
How many hou	rs per week are you currently providing prevention services:								
Less tha	an 5 hours								
5 to 10	hours								
11 to 20	) hours								
21 to 30	) hours $\Box$								
31 to 40	) hours $\Box$								
More than 40 hours □									
How many year	rs have you worked in the field of prevention:								

Thank you for your cooperation.